

*Nicolás Salmerón**

RECALIBRATING THE GLOBAL
BALANCE OF POWER

[Visit WEB](#)

[Receive Newsletter](#)

RECALIBRATING THE GLOBAL BALANCE OF POWER

Abstract:

The last decades have brought the rise of Asian powers, in particular China. In parallel, over the same period, the United States has shown signs of relative decline. This notwithstanding, social, (geo)political, demographic, energy, military and -perhaps most importantly- economic factors point to significant challenges for China as it moves up the ladder of development, as well as to renewed North American strength and momentum. In light of these data, the seemingly inexorable rise of the Asian giant, and the decline of the world's current superpower, is examined.

Keywords: China, United States, Economy, Demography, Democracy, Energy, Military

Resumen:

Las últimas décadas han traído el auge de los países asiáticos, y en particular el de China. En paralelo y durante el mismo período, los Estados Unidos han dado muestras de estar en un relativo declive. Sin embargo, factores sociales, (geo)políticos, demográficos, energéticos, militares y -de manera significativa- económicos, ponen de manifiesto, por un lado, los importantes retos que China tendrá que superar en su camino hacia el pleno desarrollo, así como, por otro, una renovada fortaleza norteamericana. Estos factores permiten cuestionar tanto el carácter imparable del ascenso del gigante asiático como el inevitable declive de la actual superpotencia.

Palabras Clave: China, Estados Unidos, Economía, Demografía, Democracia, Energía, Ejército

***NOTE:** The ideas expressed in the *Opinion Documents* are responsibility of their authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the IEEE (Spanish Institute for Strategic Studies) or the Ministry of Defense.

RISING DRAGON, SHATTERED DREAM

China seems determined to take over the United States as the world's leading superpower. Since 1976, when it last registered negative economic growth, China's economy has been growing at an average of just under 10 per cent yearly. The Asian country doubled its Gross Domestic Product (GDP) between 1997 and 2005, and again between 2005 and 2012, reaching a GDP of \$4.5 trillion in that last year, third only to that of the European Union and United States at \$14.6 and \$14.3 trillion, respectively ¹. Moreover, within a decade, from 2002 to 2012, Chinese per capita GDP more than doubled in real terms, increasing from \$3.108 to \$7.958 in terms of purchasing power parity ². This extraordinary growth has lifted millions out of poverty: in 1990, 60 per cent of China's population was living on less than \$1.25 per day. In 2009, the last year for which data is available, this percentage had fallen to 12 per cent ³.

In the United States, and for the first time, there are more Americans who believe President Barack Obama is not respected by world leaders than those who believe the opposite ⁴. This represents a significant shift from 2009, when only 20 per cent had a negative impression of the President's image abroad. In addition, 61 per cent of US citizens is dissatisfied with the US position in the world, up from 51 per cent a decade ago. As it seems, persistent imbalances, the severity of the economic crisis that erupted in 2008 and, amongst others, the recent domestic political deadlock that brought the world's first economy close to default, has led many to believe that US hegemony might be coming to an end.

A FLIGHT OF ICARUS?

In spite of China's astounding economic performance in the past three decades, the country still has a long way to go in order to become a global superpower. The country faces a series of significant challenges, which will only increase in complexity as well as in number in the years to come.

FAREWELL TO THE MASSES

China has undertaken discreet but constant political reform since it started its economic expansion in the mid-1970s. One of the most significant impacts of the country's economic and political 'revolutions' over the years has been an alteration of its 'constituency'. In this

¹ World Development Indicators, constant 2005 \$, figures for 2012, available at: <http://data.worldbank.org/>; These figures vary substantially if measured with constant \$ for other years. For instance, still using World Bank data, the figure for China's 2012 GDP in constant 2010 \$ is 7.2 trillion, as opposed to the 4,5 trillion when using constant 2005 \$. When this paper was elaborated, the most recent data available for constant \$ was that of the year 2005.

² World Development Indicators, constant 2005 \$, available at: <http://data.worldbank.org/>

³ World Development Indicators, PPP, 2005 international prices, available at: <http://data.worldbank.org/>

⁴ According to a February 2014 Gallup poll.

sense, the Chinese leadership now faces a population endowed with more resources ‘in terms of money, talent, and information’, notes Prof. David Lampton, Director of China Studies at the Johns Hopkins Paul H. Nitze School of Advanced International Studies (SAIS) ⁵. With the population becoming increasingly plural, Chinese societal homogeneity has fractured. As a consequence, leaders no longer have to respond to the Chinese ‘masses’, but to different constituencies within the country. The GINI coefficient, which measures inequality in the distribution of income, increased by over 40 per cent from 1981 to 2005, the first and last date for which data is available. In addition, the population has shifted from being primarily rural to increasingly urban: whereas only 19 per cent of the population resided in urban areas in 1980, the figure was 52 per cent in 2012, with urban population growth rates amounting to 3 per cent annually since 2008. Employment rates have followed a similar pattern: from 69 per cent in 1980, the figure of total agricultural employment had dropped to 35 per cent by 2011. Moreover, the literacy rate increased from 66 per cent of the total population to 95 per cent over the same period ⁶. In this context, as suggested by Prof. Lampton, those who believe social and political stability can be maintained ‘without dramatically reforming the country’s system of governance’ are profoundly wrong. Indeed, China has today a much stronger, active and vocal civil society, and this despite increased pressure and enhanced crackdowns by the Chinese authorities ⁷. The number of civil society organisations has, indeed, increased at constant pace. According to the Institute for Security Studies (ISS) of the European Union, the total number of civil society groups has risen by around 12 per cent annually in recent years, with 253.000 ‘social groups’, 2.510 foundations and 202.000 non-profit organisations (NGOs) registered with the Ministry of Public Administration in 2011 ⁸. This does not take into account underground movements and organisations, which should not be neglected. Finally, the number of Internet users has also rapidly increased, amounting to 42 per cent of the population in 2012 ⁹.

In light of these trends, political and social turmoil, with unpredictable consequences, cannot be discarded. In fact, this represents one of China’s most significant challenges. Moreover, popular dissent can be expected to increase if, as will be analysed below, slower economic growth materialises, and censorship and repression intensify.

⁵ How China is Ruled, Council on Foreign Relations, 2014

⁶ World Development Indicators, available at: <http://data.worldbank.org/> for GINI coefficient, employment and literacy rates. Data for literacy rates until 2010.

⁷ http://www.transparency.org/news/feature/chinas_chance_to_reform

⁸ http://www.iss.europa.eu/publications/detail/article/chinese-civil-society-at-a-time-of-leadership-change/#_ftn3

⁹ World Development Indicators, available at: <http://data.worldbank.org/>

ECONOMIC COOL-DOWN

The 'Asian giant' is undergoing substantial structural transformations with regard to its economy, moving away from an export- and investment-led growth model towards one in which private consumption takes centre stage as the engine for growth. The financial crisis exposed the limitations of a model biased towards investment and exports, and thus dependent on third countries: as the capacity of industrialised economies to absorb products from Asia diminished, Chinese exports fell considerably, from 38 per cent of GDP in 2007 to 27 per cent in 2012 according to the World Bank. In addition, the crisis intensified efforts undertaken by Japan, an important commercial partner, to stimulate its economy via monetary easing. As a consequence, the renminbi appreciated relative to the yen, which in turn reduced the competitiveness of Chinese products. By boosting investments, the Chinese government was able to moderate the impact after 2007-8. There was, however, a price to pay in terms of delays in the mentioned transformation of the Chinese economy, thus increasing risks inherent to the old model. Moreover, this measure also resulted in production over-capacity, which entails deflationary risks. Indeed, the importance of Gross Capital Formation (GCF) in China has been -and still is- very significant, accounting for over 40 per cent of China's aggregate demand in the years leading to the financial crisis of 2007-8. In particular, GCF amounted to 49 per cent of GDP in 2012, which contrasts starkly with the 19 per cent figure for the United States. In addition, household consumption as a share of aggregate demand declined from 47 per cent in 2000 to 35 per cent in 2012 (65 per cent in the United States) ¹⁰.

Taking into account the intrinsic risks associated with a growth model based on high investment and high export rates, the Chinese authorities now wish to transit to a model based on less investment, less exports, and more internal consumption. To do this, they will have to accept in return more moderate growth. Despite making sense economically, the Chinese authorities will face many difficulties to turn China into the consumer society they seem to desire. This is due to several reasons.

First, the Chinese economy has recently experienced a slowdown in spite of efforts to increase investments as a means to counteract decreasing net exports. Indeed, GDP growth fell to around 7.7 per cent in 2012 from an average close to 10 per cent in the past decades ¹¹. The International Monetary Fund (IMF) predicts lower rates of around 7 per cent on average until 2018, while Chinese experts have pointed to the possibility of growth of

¹⁰ World Development Indicators, available at: <http://data.worldbank.org/>

¹¹ International Monetary Fund, available at: <http://www.imf.org/>

around 6.5 per cent between 2018 and 2022¹². Several risks are associated with such ‘low’ growth rates. In particular, these figures could place the country below the threshold necessary to ensure appropriate employment opportunities for migrant workers. Although the situation is deemed to be under control, if such a low-growth scenario were to become a reality, political friction could develop.

Second, Chinese state-owned banks’ balance sheets could have significantly deteriorated as a consequence of a loose loan-extension policy applied during the crisis years in order to stimulate the economy. Indeed, many loans seem to have been granted to unproductive sectors. If, as seems likely to happen, unprofitable businesses are not in a position to deleverage, the Chinese state will need to absorb unpaid liabilities which would, in turn, result in a substantial increase of its public debt. Given the already worryingly high level of public debt, such actions could lead the Chinese economy into a downward spiral which is all too well-known in Spain. Tellingly, China suffered its first corporate bond default in recent history on 7 March 2014, ‘after a small Shanghai-based solar power company failed to pay out interest on a security’ it had sold in 2012¹³.

Third, private consumption has been stagnant at around 35 per cent of GDP since 2006¹⁴. Christian Dreger from the German Institute for Economic Research (DIW) in Berlin points to a high savings rate to explain this¹⁵. The Chinese social security system -pensions, medical care and unemployment benefits- is not sufficiently developed. Consequently, households are forced to save significant parts of their income in anticipation of more difficult times. China’s one-child policy adds to this problem, while an increase in government investments to improve the system is not foreseeable in the short term. In addition, given the underdevelopment of the financial system and the resulting absence of loans to finance private consumption, families need to save to pay for basic but expensive consumption products. Since for the reasons explained above, a fall in the savings rate is unlikely, increasing consumption -absolutely central to shift to a consumer society- would require a rise in income. Whereas this is possible in light of past trends, it could nonetheless have a negative aggregate impact on the Chinese economy. Indeed, the required increase in wages would affect Chinese competitiveness negatively, both at present as well as in the long term via a reduction in investment capacity.

12 Why China’s economy might topple, Financial Times, April 2013

13 China suffers its first corporate bond default, Financial Times, 2014

14 World Development Indicators, available at: <http://data.worldbank.org/>

15 According to the World Bank, Gross Domestic Savings as a share of GDP amount to roughly 50% of GDP in China since 2006 as opposed to 15% in the United States.

Finally, the 'outside world' could add to internal challenges. Tightening monetary conditions in the US could have a severe impact on capital flows, which could constitute a serious additional threat to China's economy if not managed correctly.

A BROKEN CONTRACT

In China, an important component of the social contract has been the exchange of political legitimacy for economic growth and increasing living standards ¹⁶. In this context, (significant) reduction in GDP growth has the potential to disturb social and political stability. Ever since the crackdown on protesters in Tiananmen Square, 'the Chinese Communist Party (CPP) has depended on economic growth to ensure stability', according to Mr. Lagerkvist ¹⁷. Changing the economic model and other needed (planned or unplanned) reforms 'could dislocate large swaths of society, including migrant labourers, university graduates, and disgruntled civil servants'. In this sense, the decision to rebalance the economy has been accompanied by the creation of 'a new State Security Committee', implying 'further consolidation of power in the hands of China's security sector' and General Secretary Xi Jinping himself', who now enjoys more power to 'crack down on popular dissent', he adds. But the plan could backfire. China's population is more educated and has greater access to information, and to technology. Also, evidence suggests that the population's well-being and level of satisfaction -crucial elements of the social contract- has not kept pace with GDP growth. The UN Human Development Index (HDI) indeed points towards stagnation in social development ¹⁸.

Finally, the 'percentage of Chinese who describe themselves as very happy fell from 28 per cent in 1990 to 12 per cent in 2000', as individuals have seen their relative wealth decline, despite extraordinary growth rates and increases in absolute wealth. This has resulted in a generation of 'frustrated achievers' who have become 'poorer relative to those above them' ¹⁹.

SHATTERED DREAM?

Unlike the Euro zone, the US economy seems to be finally taking off after a period of recession, stagnation and slow recovery. More importantly, growth rates seem to be outperforming IMF forecasts, currently at 2.8 per cent and 3.0 per cent for 2014 and 2015, respectively. This optimism is supported by different factors, as noted by Martin Wolf at the

¹⁶ A thoroughly analysis of the China's internal challenges can be found in GARCIA SANCHEZ, Ignacio. "China's inner ring. Strength or weakness?", at http://www.ieee.es/en/Galerias/fichero/panoramas/Geopolitical_Panorama_2013.pdf

¹⁷ China's risky reforms, Council on Foreign Relations, 2014¹¹_{SEP}

¹⁸ United Nations, Human Development Reports, available at: <http://hdr.undp.org/en/countries>

¹⁹ China's risky reforms, Council on Foreign Relations, 2014¹¹_{SEP}

Madrid-based 'Rafael del Pino' Foundation last March. First, in contrast to what is happening in China, house prices in the US seem to have almost returned to levels similar to those of the second half of the 1990s. Second, the US seems to be successfully deleveraging. Indeed, according to Mc Kinsey Global Institute, US private-sector debt has fallen relative to GDP in all categories since the end of 2008 ²⁰, with financial-sector debt currently down to \$6.1 trillion from \$8 trillion in 2000. It now stands at 40 per cent of GDP. In addition, among US households, 'debt has fallen by 4 per cent in absolute terms', which amounts to approximately \$584 billion.

In this sense, indexing real GDP figures (base year 2008) for the US, France, Germany, Japan, the UK and the Euro zone yields interesting results. From the first quarter of 2008 onwards, the US economy fell. Outperforming other advanced economies, in early 2011 however the US economy was back to the level of the first quarter of 2008, and has been rising since. Today, it is at over 106 points (that is, 6 percentage points above the 2008 base year), while the second-best performing economy -that of Germany- stands at around 103 points ²¹. US productivity figures are also encouraging. According to the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), labour productivity measured as GDP per hour worked (in constant prices) increased by 7.7 per cent between 2007 and 2012. By way of contrast, productivity rose by 4.5 per cent on average for all OECD countries, 2.8 per cent for the Euro zone and 10 per cent for the United States between 2002 and 2007. These figures point to significant growth potential for the United States in the years to come. It also casts a shadow over the Euro zone -excluding Spain, which boasts encouraging productivity data – and the UK.

Most importantly, the United States is said to be steadily moving 'towards meeting all of its energy needs from domestic resources by 2035' ²². Indeed, and according to World Bank figures, US net energy imports (as a percentage of energy use) peaked in 2005 at 30 per cent after rising steadily since the 1990s. Since then, however, net energy imports have fallen substantially, halving in 7 years to reach 15 per cent in 2012. These figures are most striking if compared to those of China, which has rapidly swung from being a net energy exporter (with net exports equalling -0.2 per cent as a percentage of energy use in 2001) to becoming a net energy importer with imports amounting to almost 11 per cent of energy use. The International Energy Agency (IEA) estimates that China will become the largest oil-

20 Available at: http://www.mckinsey.com/insights/global_capital_markets/uneven_progress_on_the_path_to_growth

21 World Bank Data, Martin Wolf's presentation at the Fundación Rafael del Pino on March 5th, 2014

22 World Energy Outlook, WEO, 2013, available at: <http://www.worldenergyoutlook.org/publications/weo-2013/>

consuming country by 2030. The US could benefit from reduced dependence on imported energy -especially from outside North America- both economically as well as geo-politically, given that a country's dependence on imported oil from potentially unstable regions can easily become a strategic weakness. Indeed, existing price differentials (partly derived from increased energy self-sufficiency) play a significant role in determining a country's ability to compete in the global economy, and thus its ability to enhance growth. The IEA 2013 World Energy Outlook estimates that Chinese industrial consumers pay on average double for electricity relative to their US counterparts. This puts pressure on the Chinese economy, which competes mainly on the basis of low prices, as sustained differentials in energy costs - a critical production input- could significantly hamper its competitive advantage vis-à-vis the United States and the world. Regional differences in energy prices, which could severely affect the competitiveness of countries with high relative costs, are forecasted to remain high through to 2035, according to the IEA ²³.

Finally, it should be noted that, in a context of increased globalisation, national accounts - such as the GDP or the Balance Payments- could be ill-suited to capture the economic underpinnings of modern power. As illustrated by Sean Starrs of York University ²⁴, the fact that China is the 'world's largest electronics producer since 2004' does not imply that China leads the electronics industry, given that today's world is characterised by transnational corporations. Based on different countries' GDP and corporate sales figures, economists Sarah Anderson and John Cavanagh concluded that in 2000 out of the 'largest economies in the world, 51 [were] corporations [and] only 49 were countries' ²⁵. They added that the combined sales of the world's 200 major corporations were larger than the combined economies of all countries worldwide, minus the 10 richest nations. Consequently, arguing along the lines of Chinese GDP versus US GDP (a US corporation which produces in China counts towards Chinese GDP) could lead to significant miscalculations with regard to actual economic, and thus political, power. As Mr. Starrs argues, if the world's top transnational corporations are analysed, the resulting picture of economic power is 'startling'. Of the 25 economic sectors analysed by Mr. Starrs, US firms 'have the leading profit share in 18, and dominate (with a profit share of 38 per cent or more) in 13 of those sectors'. Japan is the only other country in the world to dominate an economic sector (and only one: trading companies). In addition, argues Mr. Starrs, many of the sectors that are behind Chinese growth are dominated by US corporations, implying that the 'rise of China' in effect

²³ A thoroughly analysis of the China's energy security can be found in GARCIA SANCHEZ, Ignacio. "The rise of China and its energy supply" available at the IEEE

²⁴ America did not decline, it went global, Politico, 2014

²⁵ Anderson and Cavanagh, 2000

increases US power to the extent that these top corporations are owned by US individuals²⁶. Tellingly, 65 of the top 200 corporations in the world are American (with \$556.5 billion in profits), whereas only 16 are Chinese (with \$196.2 billion in profits)²⁷.

IT'S ALL ABOUT THE PEOPLE

Demographic pressures inevitably play a major role in the performance of any economy, including that of the United States and China. In this regard, five variables -population, population growth, labour force, the age-dependency ratio and fertility rate- are key.

The United States has experienced a consistent annual demographic growth of 1 per cent since the 1990s, increasing its population from 250 million people in 1990 to 314 million in 2012²⁸. China's annual population growth averaged 1 per cent between 1990 and 2008, but has since stabilised at around 1.4 billion people. In particular, between 2000 and 2010, the Chinese population grew at an annual rate of 0.57 per cent, while the world's population grew at more than twice that rate²⁹.

The Chinese labour force has followed a similar pattern, growing importantly between 1990 (630 million) and 2008 (770 million), and remaining constant since. Similarly, the United States labour force has remained practically stable since the second half of the past decade, at around 155 million workers. However, the age-dependency ratio -defined as the share of those below 15 or over 64 relative to the working-age population (15 to 64)- has improved substantially in the past three decades³⁰. According to World Bank and OECD data, this ratio reached an all-time low of 36 per cent in 2012. As the population ages and fertility rates decline, this figure is expected to increase sharply in the years to come, reaching 50 per cent by 2030 and 80 per cent by 2070. Similarly, China's fertility rate descended from an average of almost six children per woman in 1970 to two in 1991³¹. The OECD estimates China's current Total Fertility Rate (TFR) to be at 1.18 children per woman. Due to under-reporting of births (partly as a consequence of the one-child policy), China's actual rate could be a little

26 Sean Starr's research shows that, on average, more than 85% of top 100 U.S. transnational companies' shares are owned by U.S. citizens^[1]

27 Own calculations based on the Forbes Global 2000 list of the world's biggest companies, total profits: 1553.4 billion.

28 World Development Indicators, available at: <http://data.worldbank.org/>^[2]

29 OECD China, The silver and White economy, pg. 5^[3]

30 The lower this rate is, the better

31 Defined as the number of children that would be born to a woman if she were to live to the end of her childbearing years and bear children in accordance with current age-specific fertility rates^[4]

under 1.5. This not only implies that China's fertility rate is below that of developed nations, but also that the Asian country is 'approaching lowest-low fertility rates', with potentially dramatic demographic and socioeconomic consequences ³². In the US, the ratio has averaged around 2 in the last four decades.

These figures point to similar patterns of little (US) to no (China) growth in population, labour, and fertility rates in both countries. However, the 'Asian giant' faces much bigger demographic challenges than the US. First, despite stable fertility rates in both nations, the US has levelled at a ratio almost double that of China. Second, if current trends continue, China's population growth will turn negative by 2027 ³³. Third, China is ageing (too) quickly: in the capital Beijing, the percentage of people over 60 went from 10 per cent in 1990 to 12.5 per cent in 2010 (and it is expected to reach 20 and 30 per cent in 2020 and 2030, respectively), according to OECD data ³⁴. Finally, estimates place the percentage of people over 80 at roughly 3 per cent of the population by 2030, fully converging with that of the United States (which will be 5 per cent by 2030) by 2050. By then, 7 per cent of the population in both countries will be over 80 years of age, with 25 per cent of the population over 65. This paints a somewhat gloomy picture especially for the Asian giant for the reasons explained.

A TALE OF TWO MILITARY POWERS

Much like the rest of Western nations, the United States Armed Forces are currently being subject to budget cuts, which are expected to continue in the near future. Between 2010 and 2012, the US reduced its annual defence spending by \$45 billion to reach a total spending of \$645.7 billion ³⁵. During the same period, China increased its spending by \$26 billion, reaching \$102.4 billion ³⁶, with announced plans to continue this upward trend in 2014. Finally, at present the US Armed Forces total 1.5 million men, compared to 2.3 million in China ³⁷.

32 OECD China, *The silver and White economy*, pg. 7^[1]

33 OECD China, *The silver and White economy*, pg. 6

34 OECD China, *The silver and White economy*, pg. 6^[1]

35 *The Military Balance*, International Institute for Strategic Studies, 2013^[1]

36 China's defence spending as a share of GDP declined to 1.24 percent between 2010 and 2011, remaining stagnant in 2012. The U.S.' defence spending declined in absolute terms as well as relative to GDP -from 4.76 percent in 2010 to 4.12 percent in 2012^[1]

37 *The Military Balance*, International Institute for Strategic Studies, 2013^[1]

China could eventually overtake the United States as the world's largest defence spender. Moreover, double-digit annual percentage GDP growth rates during the past decade have allowed for the rapid modernisation of China's People's Liberation Army (PLA). A slowdown in GDP growth could however force the country to reduce military spending. The International Institute for Strategic Studies (IISS) questions whether China's military technology will continue to improve at the same pace. The Institute estimates that a convergence in defence spending between the US and China would occur 'after 2028 rather than before, should it occur at all'³⁸. Defence-spending projections are inextricably linked to uncertainty. This is even more so in the case of China, whose various challenges could lead it to reduce spending on defence in favour of other more pressing needs. But even if Chinese and US military spending were to converge by 2030, this still does not imply that China could rival the US militarily. It could take many more years for China to reach the level of accumulated US spending and, more importantly, US military know-how.

In this sense, and contrarily to intuition, the period of reduced military and defence budget the US is facing in the short and medium terms could actually improve US military strategy in the long run, as it can force Washington to 'think strategically, something it rarely does when times are flush', argues Professor Melvyn P. Leffler of the University of Virginia³⁹. Mr. Leffler opines that 'contrary to conventional wisdom, the consequences of past US defence cuts were not bad'. Indeed, the US cut back its defence spending from around 17 per cent of GDP in 1919 to less than 2 per cent three years later, and scaled down its military from 3.5 million soldiers to 146.000 over those years, amongst other measures, even before the Great Depression forced the US government to engage in yet more cuts. Scholars cited by Mr. Leffler have shown that, despite the Pearl Harbor attack, 'the low levels of US military spending in the 1920s and early 1930s [...] neither compromised nor thwarted significant technological innovation and organizational change'. Tight budgets can in fact help develop 'a coherent strategic concept, a clear assessment of threats, a precise delineation of interests and goals, and a calibrated sense of priorities', all of which are essential components to defence⁴⁰.

38 The Military Balance, International Institute for Strategic Studies, 2013^[1]

39 Defence on a Diet, Council on Foreign Relations, 2013

40 Defence on a Diet, Council on Foreign Relations, 2013^[2]

CONCLUSION

While the US seems to have entered yet another wave of declinism, all eyes are set on China's assumed rise. However, the other side of the story questions the irrevocable nature of China's ascent, and paints a brighter picture for the world's superpower.

The combination of slower economic performance, structural changes (including an economic rebalancing) and various social challenges could decelerate growth and trigger popular dissatisfaction and social unrest in China. This, in turn, could worsen economic conditions further, leading to renewed protests or riots, especially in light of the population's increasing unwillingness to tolerate abuse, injustice and rising inequality. The consequences are hard to predict, particularly if Beijing continues to apply a neo-authoritarian model of governance with intensified crackdowns. To add to these aspects, China's population is ageing quickly. The country is thus facing very significant demographic challenges. Indeed, the Asian country has in the recent past benefited enormously from the demographic dividend, which has been a fundamental source of abundant and cheap labour, and thus an engine for growth. By way of contrast, while this trend in demography is also evidenced in the West, including in the US, China is at a very different stage in terms of economic and social development. Whereas the United States is a fully industrialised economy, China still needs to successfully avoid the middle-income trap, and it will have to do so as the demographic dividend fades away. In this sense, in light of the China's macroeconomic and demographic challenges, and given a global order in which military strength ultimately depends on economic performance, the rise of the country as the leading nation in terms of its military is questionable. In the United States, productivity has increased during the crisis years, which is a symbol of future economic strength and enhanced well-being. Firms and households are deleveraging, and the country seems to be moving towards energy self-sufficiency, while industrial consumers already pay on average half for electricity relative to their Chinese counterparts. Whereas the US also faces demographic challenges, these are not as important as they are for China. In addition, the reduction in US defence spending could be small relative to the rest of the world, including China. Finally, subsequent budget cuts in US defence spending could, far from compromising US strategy, power and military capabilities, actually enhance them.

The Asian continent has dominated the world economy for a significant part of the last two millennia. It should thus not come as a surprise if China-led Asia were to play a greater role on the global stage in the future. So far there is however not sufficient evidence to claim that China will necessarily become the world's superpower in the near future. Indeed, important challenges lie ahead, especially given China's need to avoid the so-called middle-

income trap as it paves its way to further development, and to successfully switch from a low-wage and low-cost economic model to one which produces high value-added items. History shows that very few countries have been able to do so in the last half century. It would be courageous at this point to assert that China will be one of them.

Nicolás Salmerón⁴¹

Research Assistant at FRIDE

<http://fride.org/expert/662/nicolas-salmeron>

*NOTA: Las ideas contenidas en los *Documentos de Opinión* son de responsabilidad de sus autores, sin que reflejen, necesariamente, el pensamiento del IEEE o del Ministerio de Defensa.